

# Security, Strategy, and Soft Power

Posted at: 20/06/2025

# Security, Strategy, and Soft Power: India's Evolving Global Engagement

Context

Following **Operation Sindoor (May 7-10, 2025)** — a four-day military engagement — India has faced **sharp criticism** not for its actions alone but for the **diplomatic messaging** that followed. Unlike previous instances of cross-border terror where global support was widespread, the international response this time was **muted and fragmented**. This has raised important questions about the **effectiveness of Indian diplomacy**, especially in light of **geopolitical shifts** and **perception challenges**.

**Eroding International Support** 

- In contrast to events like the **2008 Mumbai attacks**, **2016 Uri**, and **2019 Pulwama**, global support for India post-Operation Sindoor has been **relatively lukewarm**.
- Despite strong condemnations of the **Pahalgam attack**, India **did not receive unequivocal backing**, especially from **South Asian neighbours**.
- Pakistan, however, secured explicit support from nations such as China, Türkiye, and Malaysia, and organisations like the OIC.
- This asymmetry in responses is seen as a **failure in India's diplomatic outreach**, especially when compared to past successes.

**Diplomatic Wins for Pakistan** 

- Islamabad influenced multilateral forums, such as the UNSC, to its advantage.
- In April 2025, Pakistan succeeded in removing references to The Resistance Front

(TRF) from a UNSC resolution on the Pahalgam attack.

• Symbolic and strategic gains included:

• Leadership roles in UNSC counter-terrorism bodies

- Access to international loans despite India's objections
- Continued engagement with the United States, with General Asim Munir hosted at the White House
- These developments raise concerns about **India's declining narrative traction** in international diplomacy.

#### **Hyphenation by the United States**

- A major setback was the **U.S. President's repeated statements equating India and Pakistan**, suggesting **moral parity** between the two.
- Offers of mediation on Kashmir and weak condemnations of terrorism indicate a shift in strategic alignment.
- Such comparisons **undermine India's global position** and highlight gaps in bilateral understanding.

Message vs. Messenger

- As the famous line from Antony and Cleopatra states: "I that do bring the news made not the *match*", diplomats can only deliver what they are instructed to.
- The real issue lies in the **content**, **clarity**, **and tone** of India's **post-Sindoor diplomatic communication**.
- Blame directed at diplomats is therefore **misplaced**, and should instead focus on the **messaging strategy**.

## Modi's "New Normal" Doctrine and Global Perceptions

India's military doctrine has undergone a shift, raising concerns internationally. Key aspects include:

- **Terror = War**: Positions terrorism on par with war, **lowering the threshold** for retaliation.
- Rejection of Nuclear Blackmail: Promotes strategic autonomy, but introduces nuclear brinkmanship into regional discourse.
- No distinction between State and Non-State Actors: Implies that attacks by non-state actors can invite full-scale retaliation, destabilising regional norms.
- These positions may project **domestic strength**, but **globally appear aggressive**, especially in a time of heightened sensitivity to territorial aggression.

**Changing Global Attitudes Post-2020** 

- The global discourse on military action has changed since **Russia's invasion of Ukraine** and **Israel's actions in Gaza**.
- India's positions, such as:

Non-condemnation of Russia

Increased oil imports from Moscow

Silence on Gaza

• Have eroded moral credibility, especially in Europe and the Global South.

• This perceived **selective consistency** undermines India's **diplomatic legitimacy** when calling out terrorism or seeking support.

**Democracy, Image and Credibility** 

- India's traditional strength in diplomacy has been its image as the **world's largest democracy**.
- However, rising concerns over **democratic backsliding** have challenged this perception.
- Key issues include:
  - Citizenship (Amendment) Act (CAA)
  - Abrogation of Article 370
  - Internet shutdowns, arrests of dissenters, and allegations of extraterritorial killings
- During Operation Sindoor, Indian diplomats had to **defend not just India's security stance**, but also its **human rights record**.
- This blurring of India's democratic distinction from Pakistan weakens its diplomatic leverage.

The Way Forward: Rethinking India's Diplomacy

To address current challenges and regain diplomatic momentum, India must:

 Reframe its messaging to project itself as a democratic, responsible, and stabilising power.

• Ensure that its strategic autonomy does not translate into moral inconsistency.

- Recognise that **perception management** is as critical as **military or economic strength** in international relations.
- Reinforce credibility through **coherent foreign policy**, **clear narrative**, and **value-based engagement**.

## Conclusion

India's **right to defend against cross-border terrorism** is unquestionable, and global indifference is understandably frustrating.

However, **effective diplomacy** requires more than military strength—it demands **moral consistency**, **strategic clarity**, and alignment with **global expectations**.

The current criticisms reflect not a failure of diplomats, but a **broader disconnect between** India's actions, narrative, and international perception.

www.