

Global Plastic Ban Could Save \$8 Trillion

Posted at: 11/07/2025

Global Plastic Ban Could Save \$8 Trillion: WWF-Earth Action Report

Context:

The global plastic pollution crisis has reached alarming levels, severely affecting ecosystems, human health, and economies. In this backdrop, the **World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF)** commissioned a study by **Earth Action (EA)** to assess the **economic and environmental implications** of banning or phasing out high-risk plastic products. The findings suggest that such measures could save the global economy **\$4.7-\$8 trillion between 2025 and 2040**.

This study gains significance as the world prepares for the **Global Plastics Treaty negotiations**, aiming to forge legally binding solutions for plastic pollution.

About the Study:

- Commissioned by: World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF)
- Conducted by: Earth Action
- **Data Source**: Based on the **Plasteax dataset**, a global database of plastic product and waste data.
- Objective:
 - To assess the **costs**, **benefits**, **and timelines** of banning or phasing out **high-risk plastic products**.
 - To compare scenarios such as **immediate ban**, **phased ban**, and **staggered ban** against **business-as-usual (BAU)** models.

Key Findings of the Study:

1. Economic Savings Potential:

- An immediate global ban could lead to savings of up to **\$8 trillion** between **2025 and 2040**.
- A phased ban approach could save around \$7 trillion.
- A staggered ban model could yield savings of \$4.7 trillion.
- In contrast, continuing with **business-as-usual plastic use** would result in an estimated cost of **\$10 trillion** globally.
- 2. Targeted Plastic Products:
 - The study identified certain plastic items as **high-risk** due to their environmental impact and lack of recyclability:
 - Expanded Polystyrene (EPS)
 - Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC)
 - Polystyrene Packaging
 - Single-use plastic items such as:
 - Straws
 - Cotton buds
 - Cutlery and plates

3. Environmental Gains:

- Estimated reduction of 173-224 million tonnes in overall plastic use by 2040.
- Reduction of **51-74 million tonnes** in **mismanaged plastic waste**, which often ends up in oceans or landfills.
- Significant improvement in **marine and terrestrial ecosystems**, with positive impact on biodiversity and public health.
- 4. Cost of Inaction vs Action:
 - Under **business-as-usual**, global plastic use will create economic burdens up to **\$10** trillion.
 - In contrast, the **ban scenario** would cost only **\$2 trillion**, leading to a net saving of **\$8 trillion**.
 - Waste management cost savings alone would reach **\$50 billion** due to reduced disposal and landfill burden.
- 5. Private Sector Transition Costs:
 - The estimated **transition cost** for industries is relatively low at **\$143 million**.
 - These costs would be offset by:

Long-term savings

Reduced environmental liability

• **Emerging business opportunities** in sustainable alternatives and innovation in packaging.

Relevance to UPSC:

- The study is important for topics under:
 - Environment and Ecology
 - Sustainable Development
 - Climate Change and Waste Management
 - GS Paper III Environmental Conservation, Waste Management, and Pollution Control

- Aligns with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs):
 - SDG 12 Responsible Consumption and Production
 - $\circ\,$ SDG 13 Climate Action
 - $\circ\,$ SDG 14 Life Below Water
- Supports India's efforts like:
 - Plastic Waste Management Rules, 2016 (amended in 2022)
 - Swachh Bharat Mission

• Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) framework